# MIDEX Pre-Proposal Conference Safety, Reliability, & Quality Assurance Handout

**Rick Claffy, GSFC Code 410/303, X6-7866** 



- SR&QA effort is controlled by MIDEX AO, EPL Reference Document #32, containing both Requirements & Guidelines.
  - Page 7, Par. 2.1 discusses EXP Program Office & PI joint effort to define best mix of roles and responsibilities for SR&QA execution.
    - Mission Definition & Requirements Agreement. (EPL Ref. #39)
    - Code 410/PI SR&QA Insight Agreement.
      - Becomes part of GSFC/PI Contract and a condition for mission confirmation.
      - Defines Early the Inter-Institutional Partnering Arrangement for SR&QA services.
  - Par. 2.1 requires Pls to implement a product assurance program consistent with ISO 9000 series ANSI/ASQC Q9001-1994, covering flight hardware, software & GSE.
    - ISO <u>registration not required</u>, but <u>compliance is expected</u> with the Standard's sections <u>where it makes good engineering and programmatic sense</u>.
    - PI SR&QA Program must meet MIDEX Safety, Reliability, and Quality Assurance Requirements, as published in AO Document #32.
    - PI Institution Quality Manual is deliverable for Explorers Program Office Review/Comment during Phase B.
    - Tailoring allowed in most assurance technology areas, <u>but</u> ...
    - The highly specialized discipline of System Safety, including the Range Safety effort, is dictated external to GSFC. Expert guidance through the process has historically been needed by PI teams.

- The MIDEX SR&QA Requirements document also addresses Missions of Opportunity, LDB Missions, NSTS Payloads.
  - Permits further tailoring for reduced scope of MOs.
  - Shuttle proposers should refer to EPL Doc #34 for System Safety scope & resulting cost planning.
  - LDB Proposers to use Balloon Appendix to Document #32.
- MIDEX SR&QA document Highlights:
  - Requires Monthly Assurance Status Reports.
  - Requires supplier audits.
  - Requires a PI Failure Reporting System for Phase C/D/E.
  - Invokes Hi-Reliability Workmanship standards.
  - Requires flight Printed Wiring Board Coupon DPA by certified facility prior to population with flight EEE parts.

- Lays out Design Review Requirements.
  - Peer Review heavy emphasis, with organized tracking of RFAs.
  - System level Review process currently being integrated with NASA independent Red Team functions per HQ Direction.
- Details specific System Safety program requirements and deliverables with process flow descriptions (EPL Docs. #33-36).
  - Magnitude of System Safety effort <u>must not be under-estimated</u>.
    - Allocate/identify roles & resources.
    - Start early.
  - GSFC can help in numerous ways.
- EEE Parts criteria per GSFC 311-INST-001, Rev- for Grade 3.
  - PI shall maintain and review Parts Lists with GSFC.
  - PI shall use an organized system to manage parts application, evaluation, and traceability.
    - GSFC PMC requires all GSFC managed missions to provide GIDEP Alert and NASA Advisory responses.

- Standard Materials and Processes program required, including Contamination Controls.
- Reliability
  - Risk assessments made and mitigation strategies identified.
  - FMEAs at subsystem/box level.

#### Software

- Code to be structured, error free, and maintainable.
- Establish & document SW requirements, external interface specs, user guides.
- Internal (peer) and external software design reviews.
- Use of SW Quality Metrics & Complexity analyses to augment IV&V.

#### Verification

- Verification/test program to ensure all mission requirements are met.
- Documentation to include verification matrix, environments matrix, and test procedures.

- Special Attention NIAT Requirements Topics:
  - Red Team Component of Integrated System Level Reviews.
    - Reviewer expectations can exceed baseline review requirements.
      - Extended scope, detailed questions.
      - RFA trail & Failure Report closures thoroughly checked by Red Team.
    - NASA Policy has shifted to Code 301 Chairing of all System Level Reviews for PI Missions.
  - Heavy Reliability Emphasis On:
    - Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) Recommend Start in Ph A.
    - Fault Tree Analysis, Event Sequence Diagrams, etc.
    - FMEA @ <u>subsystem level</u>.
      - Identify all single string design features.
      - Failure Impacts/mitigation.
  - Tangible Continuous Risk Tracking & Management System.
  - PI Software QA effort and IV&V.
    - Each mission evaluated for SW complexity/risk/need.
    - Determination of appropriate level of NASA IV&V Facility involvement via standardized criteria.
- Mission Success is GSFC Center Director's Ultimate Responsibility to NASA Administrator.